

UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 097581.565

FILING DATE 06/15/00

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR HAHM

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MMC1/0514

EXAMINER BUDD.M

BREINER & BREINER 115 NORTH HENRY STREET P 0 BOX 19290 ALEXANDRIA VA 22320-0290

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834

DATE MAILED:

05/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. A	Group Art Unit
	Examiner Brod	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). 		
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims $I = Q$		
Disposition of Claims /_9 ★Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		
$\hfill \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 		
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	s) 🗆 Inte	erview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□Not	ice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth	ner
Office Action Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710 Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/581,565

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Long, Sekler or Persson.

Each reference teaches a piezo electric element with drive electrodes and a temperature-dependent resistive element coupled electrical to one electrode and located physically on an unelectroded portion of the piezoelectric element. Note especially, Long (fig. 12) Sekler (fig. 2, #16) and Persson (figs. 1 and 5)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long, Persson or Sekler.

As noted above the references teach the mounting of a temperature-dependent element directly on a piezo electric resonator. They do not show some of the specific architecture of the electrodes and leads (e.g. noses and points). However, they clearly provide the necessary

Application/Control Number: 09/581,565

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

electrical and physical connections. Thus the changes in ornamental shape do not serve any different function than the prior art structures and are not seen as patentably distinct.

Claims 7-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long, Sekler or Persson in view of Ice, Newell or Brenig.

Each of Long, Sekler and Persson teach the resonator device except the temperature-dependent element is not a PTC or NTC resistor. However, each of Ice, Newell and Brenig teach that such temperature variable resistors are commonly used with piezo electric resonators to achieve a temperature stable circuit. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such a resistor in the on-board circuitry of Long, Persson or Sekler. Conversely, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to house the temperature compensation circuitry of Newell, Ice or Brenig on the piezo element itself to save space and place both resonator and circuitry in the same thermal environment.

Further cited are Isayama and O'Brien (circuitry mounted on a piezo element); and Marcellus (electrode noses: fig. 2).

Budd/tr

5-7-01

Maria de la companya della companya